## SUPPORT FOR THE AMENDMENT

Support for the amendment to claim 1 is found in claim 11 as previously presented.

No new matter would be added to this application by entry of this amendment.

Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-20 will now be active in this application.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

The claimed invention is directed to a hair cleansing composition.

Hair cleansing, such as shampooing, tend to damage the appearance of hair.

Protecting bases added to shampoo compositions can sometimes be difficult to formulate such that the protecting base can be ineffectively delivered to the hair. Accordingly, hair cleansing compositions which are effective at delivering a protecting base to hair are sought.

The claimed invention addresses the problem by providing a hair cleansing composition comprising an amphipathic amide lipid, an anionic surfactant and an acid of lactic acid, malic acid or a salt thereof where the composition has a pH of from 1 to 4.5 at 25°C when diluted with water to 20 times the weight of said composition. Applicants have discovered that lactic acid and malic acid are particularly effective for delivery of an amphipathic amide lipid to **provide hair penetration and/or repair damaged hair**. Such a hair cleansing composition is nowhere disclosed or suggested in the cited prior art of record.

The rejection of claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over <u>Hirano</u> U.S. 5,683,685 and <u>Hoshino et al.</u> (U.S. 6,685,953) is respectfully traversed.

None of the cited references discloses or suggests an enhancement in hair conditioning performance for a hair cleansing composition containing lactic acid or malic acid, at a pH of from 1-4.5.

Applicants Observe Improved Hair Treatment Performance Using Specific Acids Within the Claimed pH Range

Applicants observe an improvement in hair treatment when using lactic acid or malic acid and the pH is within the claimed range of 1-4.5

Applicants have conducted additional experiments in order to demonstrate an enhanced hair conditioning effect from using lactic acid or malic acid at the claimed pH range and are provide herewith in the form of a declaration from Mr. Hiroto Tamanachi, a

named inventor of the above-identified application and employee of the Kao Corporation, the assignee of the above-identified application. The date is reproduced below:

Table 1

(wt.%)

<del></del>		Examples			Comparative Examples			
		1	2	3	1	2	3	4'
(A)	Amphipathic amide lipid A	2	2	-	2	-	-	2
	Amphipathic amide lipid B	-	-	2	-	-	_	-
(B)	Sodium polyoxyethylene (2) lauryl ether sulfate	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	Sodium lauryl sulfate	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
(C)	Lactic acid	1	-	1	1	1	-	_
	Malic acid	-	1	-		-	-	•
	Citric acid						-	11
Others	Myristyl alcohol	1	1	1	1	1	_1	1
	Cocoylmonoethanolamide	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	Ethylene glycol distearate	1	1	1	1	1	11	1
	Cationized hydroxyethyl cellulose	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Cationized guar gum	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	pH regulator (sodium hydroxide, citric acid)	q.s.*	q.s.*	q.s.*	q.s.*	q.s.*	q.s.*	q.s.*
	Purified water	Balance	Balance	Balance	Balance	Balance	Balance	Balance
рН		3.5	3.5	3.5	5	3.5	6	3.5
Evalu -ation	Smoothness of hair	A	Α	Α	С	С	С	С
	Moist feeling of hair	A	Α	Α	С	С	C	C
	Physical property- recovering ratio of hair	Α	Α	В	С	С	С	С

<sup>\*</sup> An amount to adjust the pH

A comparison of Examples 1 and 2 with Comparative Example 4' which otherwise are identical but for the nature of the organic acid illustrates the highest evaluation "A" (not less than 70 and up to 100) for the compositions containing lactic acid or malic acid as compared with the lowest evaluation "C" for the composition containing citric acid. (less that 50). A comparison of Examples 1 and 2 with Comparative example 1 having a pH of 5 illustrates the highest evaluation "A" (not less than 70 and up to 100) for the compositions each having a pH of 3.5 compared with the lowest evaluation "C" for the composition having a pH of 5. Thus, by selection of lactic acid or malic acid and setting a pH to 1-4.5, the presence of the amphipathic amide lipid can be realized in terms of enhanced hair

conditioning performance. Applicants further note that citric acid is the acid used in example 3 of Hoshino et al.

Thus, through the selection of lactic acid or malic acid for an amphipathic lipid containing composition, applicants observe an improvement in hair treatment evaluation, which is not suggested in the cited references. Such evidence is offered as evidence of the non-obviousness of the claimed invention.

<u>Hirano et al.</u> has been cited for a disclosure of the claimed composition, in the absence of a disclosure of the claimed amphipathic amide lipid (page 3, lines 16-17 of the outstanding official action).

Hoshino et al. has been cited for a disclosure of an amphipathic amide lipid but fails to disclose the claimed lactic acid or malic acid or a pH of 1 to 4.5 at 20 x dilution.

Thus, the reference which discloses the amphipathic amide lipid fails to suggest the use of lactic acid or malic acid or a pH of 1 to 4.5 as claimed and therefore, can not have suggested that such an amphipathic amide lipid would demonstrate enhanced hair conditioning performance when delivered with lactic acid and/or malic acid at a pH of from 1 to 4.5 at 20 x dilution.

The claimed invention was developed based on the discovery that lactic acid and malic acid at a pH of 1 to 4.5 at 20 x dilution makes possible enhanced permeation of into hair which leads to improved smoothness, a moist feeling and physical recovering ratio for hair.

As the cited combination of references fails to suggest an enhancement of amphipathic amide lipid by use of lactic acid or malic acid at a pH of from 1 to 4.5, the claimed invention is not rendered obvious by the cited combination of references and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/743,836 Reply to Office Action of December 19, 2008

Applicants submit that this application is now in condition for allowance and early notification of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$ 

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/07) NFO:RLC\la Richard L. Chinn, Ph.D. Registration No. 34,305